INDONESIA

This country profile assesses Indonesia’s past, present - and indications of future - performance towards a low-carbon economy by evaluating emissions, climate policy performance, climate finance and decarbonisation. The profile summarises the findings of several studies by renowned institutions.

HUMAN DEVELOPMENT INDEX

- **0.69** (G20 average: 0.70)
  - Source: UNDP, 2016

GDP PER CAPITA

- **10,368** (constant 2011, international)
  - Source: WB databank, 2017
- **18,373** (G20 average)
  - Source: WB databank, 2017

SHARE OF GLOBAL GDP

- **2.3%** (Global GDP: 5.3%)
  - Source: WB databank, 2017
  - Source: ND-GAIN, 2015

GHG EMISSIONS PER CAPITA

- **10.5** (tCO₂ e/cap)
  - Source: PRIMAP-hist, 2017
- **8.3** (G20 average)
  - Source: PRIMAP-hist, 2017

SHARE OF GLOBAL GHG EMISSIONS

- **5.3%**
  - Source: PRIMAP-hist, 2017

This country profile is part of the Brown to Green 2017 report. The full report and other G20 country profiles can be downloaded at:

http://www.climate-transparency.org/g20-climate-performance/g20report2017
**CCPI PERFORMANCE RATING OF GHG EMISSIONS PER CAPITA**

**Recent developments (2009-2014)**
- very low
- low
- medium
- high
- very high

**Current level (2014)**
- very low
- low
- medium
- high
- very high

**Current level compared to a well below 2°C pathway**
- very low
- low
- medium
- high
- very high

*Source: CCPI 2017 – G20 Edition*

Indonesia’s emissions (excl. LULUCF) have grown steadily in the past. LULUCF emission have increased over recent decades with cycles of very high emissions peaks and are the highest in the G20.

*Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry emissions according to the Climate Action Tracker Source: PRIMAP, 2017; CAT, 2017*
### CLIMATE POLICY PERFORMANCE

#### POLICY EVALUATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Area</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long term low emissions development strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG emissions target for 2050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable energy in power sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal phase-out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient light duty vehicles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient residential buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficiency in industry sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing deforestation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### CCPI EXPERTS’ POLICY EVALUATION

National experts state the Indonesian government is well engaged in international climate diplomacy, though its targets are not sufficient to stay in line with the well below 2°C goal. To reach this goal, experts say Indonesia would have to improve its forest protection policies in particular, given it has the G20’s highest deforestation-related emissions. Support schemes for renewable energy in the electricity sector and a carbon price signal would have to be enhanced.

Climate Transparency evaluates sectoral policies and rates them whether they are in line with the Paris Agreement temperature goal. For more detail, see Annex.

#### REGULATORY INDICATORS FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY (RISE) INDEX

RISE scores reflect a snapshot of a country’s policies and regulations in the energy sector. Here Climate Transparency shows the RISE evaluation for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency.

Source: RISE Index, 2017
Indonesia's emissions from both deforestation and coal are set to increase rapidly over the period to 2030. In contrast, to be consistent with the Paris Agreement temperature goal, the emissions should be stabilising, if not beginning to decline, by then. The Climate Action Tracker (CAT) rates Indonesia's Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) submitted under the Paris Agreement as “medium” as it is at the least ambitious end of what would be a fair contribution, and is not consistent with limiting warming to below 2°C, let alone with the stronger 1.5°C limit, unless other countries make much deeper reductions and comparably greater effort.

2016 saw some increase in solar PV installed capacity in Indonesia after a period of limited activity. Yet there is a long path ahead as Indonesia currently lags behind other G20 countries in installed wind and solar PV capacities, and in attracting major global renewable energy businesses (Allianz, 2017).

Indonesia was not included in the top 40 countries listed in the latest RECAI issue (May, 2017) but was ranked 38th (low) in their Oct. 2016 issue.
FINANCING THE TRANSITION

GREEN BONDS
Green bonds are bonds that earmark proceeds for climate or environmental projects and have been labelled as ‘green’ by the issuer.\(^{13}\)

Source: Calculations done by ODI based on OECD inventory, 2017

EMISSIONS OF NEW INVESTMENTS IN THE POWER SECTOR
This indicator shows the emissions per MWh coming from newly-installed capacity in 2016. The smaller the value, the more decarbonised the new installed capacity.

Source: Calculations done by IDDRI for Climate Transparency, 2017

FISCAL POLICIES

FOSSIL FUEL SUBSIDIES (FOR PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION)\(^{14}\)
Subsidies for petroleum products and fossil fuel-based electricity comprised close to over US$ 32.5 billion in 2014, over a fifth of the government spending. However, the government phased out gasoline subsidies in its revised 2015 budget due to increasing fiscal pressures, keeping smaller subsidies for LPG, diesel fuel, and kerosene. As a result, budgeted subsidies were reduced significantly, to $8 billion in 2015. For production, tax exemptions continue for goods used in oil and gas exploration and investment credit allowances for oil and gas, while the state-owned oil and gas monopoly invests substantially in exploration activities.

Source: Calculations done by ODI based on OECD inventory, 2017

EFFECTIVE CARBON RATE\(^{16}\)
In 2012, effective carbon rates in Indonesia consisted entirely of specific taxes on energy use, and only applied to fuels used in road transport. Indonesia had neither an explicit carbon tax nor an emissions trading system, leading to 17% of carbon emissions of energy use being priced, and none above € 30/tCO\(_2\) (~US$ 37).\(^{17}\)

Source: OECD, 2016
PROVISION OF INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC SUPPORT

Indonesia is not listed in Annex II of the UNFCCC, and it is therefore not formally obliged to provide climate finance. While there may be climate-related contributions through bilateral or multilateral development banks, these have not been included in this report.

**Pledge to the Green Climate Fund (GCF)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obligation to provide climate finance under the UNFCCC</th>
<th>Signed pledge to the GCF (Million US$)</th>
<th>Pledge per 1000 dollars of GDP (US$2011 constant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GCF, 2017

**Contributions through the Major Multilateral Climate Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial instrument</th>
<th>Grant</th>
<th>Concession Loan</th>
<th>Non-Concession Loan</th>
<th>Equity</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Theme of support**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigation</th>
<th>Adaptation</th>
<th>Cross-cutting</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Climate Funds Update, 2017

**Bilateral Climate Finance Contributions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bilateral finance commitments (annual average 2013-14) (Billion US$)</th>
<th>Bilateral finance commitments per 1000 dollars of GDP (annual average 2013-14) (Billion US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Party reporting to the UNFCCC, 2013-14

**Climate Finance Contributions through Multilateral Development Banks (MDBs)**

MDBs in aggregate spent $21.2 billion on mitigation and $4.5 billion on adaptation in developing countries in 2014.

No national disaggregation available

Source: MDB report, 2015

**Future Climate Finance Commitments**

Source: "Roadmap to US$100 billion" report, 2016
### Indonesia Country Facts 2017

#### Sector-Specific Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Data from</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>G20 Average</th>
<th>G20 Indonesia</th>
<th>Indonesia 2014</th>
<th>Indonesia 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power Sector</strong></td>
<td>Electricity Demand Per Capita (kWh/capita)</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: CAT, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 781</td>
<td>Indonesia: 3,601</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emissions Intensity of the Power Sector (gCO2/kWh)</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: CAT, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 632</td>
<td>Indonesia: 736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Share of Renewables in Power Generation (incl. large hydro)</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: CAT, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 22%</td>
<td>Indonesia: 11.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Share of Population with Access to Electricity</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: IEA, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 84%</td>
<td>Indonesia: 78%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Share of Population with Biomass Dependency</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: IEA, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 38%</td>
<td>Indonesia: 3,32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transport Sector</strong></td>
<td>Transport Emissions Intensity (kgCO2/km)</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: CAT, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 0.22</td>
<td>Indonesia: 0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Share of Private Cars and Motorcycles</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: CAT, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 64%</td>
<td>Indonesia: n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Building Sector</strong></td>
<td>Building Emissions Intensity (tCO2e/m²)</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: CAT, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 1.4</td>
<td>Indonesia: 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residential Buildings Emissions Intensity (kgCO2/m²)</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: CAT, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 20</td>
<td>Indonesia: 37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residential Building Space (m²/capita)</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: CAT, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 26</td>
<td>Indonesia: 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agriculture Sector</strong></td>
<td>Agriculture Emissions Intensity (tCO2e/thousand US$2010 sectoral GDP (constant))</td>
<td>Data from 2014</td>
<td>Source: PRIMA, 2017; WorldBank, 2017</td>
<td>G20: 0.8</td>
<td>Indonesia: 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forest Sector</strong></td>
<td>Forest Area Compared to 1990 Level</td>
<td>Data from 2015</td>
<td>Source: CAT, 2016</td>
<td>G20: 77%</td>
<td>Indonesia: 77%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**INDONESIA**

**ENERGY MIX**

![Graph showing energy mix](image)

**SHARE OF COAL IN ENERGY SUPPLY**

Indonesia’s share of coal in the energy mix remains relatively high – close to 17% in 2014 – but still below the G20 average.

![Graph showing share of coal](image)

**SHARE OF RENEWABLES IN ENERGY SUPPLY**

The share of renewables in the energy mix (excl. residential use of biomass) has steadily increased in recent decades. In 2014, Indonesia’s relatively high level of renewables was at 8.5%.

![Graph showing share of renewables](image)

**PERFORMANCE RATING**

![Rating chart for recent developments](image)

![Rating chart for current level](image)

**CCPI PERFORMANCE RATING OF THE SHARE OF RENEWABLES**

![Rating chart for CCPI](image)
**ENERGY USE PER CAPITA**

At only 36 GJ/capita, Indonesia’s energy use per capita is the G20’s second lowest. It has crept up in recent years, but is still far below the G20 average of 91 GJ/capita.

**ENERGY INTENSITY OF THE ECONOMY**

Having started at a low level, the energy intensity of Indonesia’s economy has been relatively steady over past decades and only recently started falling.
The carbon intensity of Indonesia’s energy supply grew sharply in the 1990s, but has been fairly steady since 2003. Scenarios project an upward trend.
KEY INDICATORS

1) The Human Development Index (HDI) is a composite index published by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). It is a summary measure of average achievement in key dimensions of human development. A country scores higher when its lifespan is higher, the education level is higher, and GDP per capita is higher. Data for 2016.

2) Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita is calculated by dividing GDP with midyear population figures. GDP is the value of all final goods and services produced within a country in a given year. Here GDP figures at purchasing power parity (PPP) are used. Data for 2015.

3) PRIMAP-hist combines several published datasets to create a comprehensive set of greenhouse gas emissions pathways for every country and Kyoto gas covering the years 1850 to 2014 and all UNFCCC member states as well as most non-UNFCCC territories. The data resolves the main IPCC 1996 categories. Data for 2014.

4) The ND-GAIN index summarizes a country’s vulnerability to climate change and other global challenges in combination with its readiness to improve resilience. It is composed of a vulnerability score and a readiness score. In this report, we display the vulnerability score, which measures a country’s exposure and sensitivity to the negative impact of climate change in six life-supporting sectors – food, water, health, ecosystem service, human habitat and infrastructure. In this report, we only display the vulnerability score of the index. Data for 2015.

5) Average level of exposure of a nation’s population to concentrations of suspended particles measuring less than 2.5 microns in aerodynamic diameter, which are capable of penetrating deep into the respiratory tract and causing severe health damage. Data for 2015.

GREENHOUSE EMISSIONS (GHG)

6) This indicator gives an overview of the country’s emissions profile and the direction the country’s emissions are taking under current policy scenario.

7) The Climate Change Performance Index (CCPI) aims to enhance transparency in international climate politics. On the basis of standardized criteria, the index evaluates and compares the climate protection performance of countries in the categories GHG emissions, renewable energy and energy use. It assesses the recent developments, current levels, policy progress and the compatibility of the country’s current performance and future targets with the international goal of limiting global temperature rise well below 2°C.

To endnote 8) Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria description</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long term low emissions development strategy</td>
<td>No long term low emissions strategy</td>
<td>Existing long term low emissions strategy</td>
<td>Long-term low emissions strategy submitted to the UNFCCC in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 19, of the Paris Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG emissions target for 2050</td>
<td>No emissions reduction target for 2050 (or beyond)</td>
<td>Existing emissions reduction target for 2050 (or beyond)</td>
<td>Emissions reduction target to bring CO2 emissions to at least net zero by 2050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable energy in power sector</td>
<td>No policy or support scheme for renewable energy in place</td>
<td>Support scheme for renewables in the power sector in place</td>
<td>Support scheme and target for 100% renewables in the power sector by 2050 in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal phase-out</td>
<td>No consideration or policy in place for phasing out coal</td>
<td>Significant action to reduce coal use implemented or coal phase-out under consideration</td>
<td>Coal phase-out in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient light duty vehicles</td>
<td>No policy or emissions performance standards for LDVs in place</td>
<td>Energy/emissions performance standards or support for LDVs</td>
<td>National target to phase out fossil fuel cars in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient residential buildings</td>
<td>No policy or low-emissions building codes and standards in place</td>
<td>Building codes, standards and fiscal/financial incentives for low-emissions options in place</td>
<td>National strategy for near-zero energy buildings (at least for all new buildings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficiency in industry sector</td>
<td>No policy or support for energy efficiency in industrial production in place</td>
<td>Support for energy efficiency in industrial production (covering at least two of the country’s subsectors e.g. cement and steel production)</td>
<td>Target for new installations in emissions-intensive sectors to be low-carbon after 2020, maximising efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing deforestation</td>
<td>No policy or incentive to reduce deforestation in place</td>
<td>Incentives to reduce deforestation or support schemes for afforestation / reforestation in place</td>
<td>National target for reaching zero deforestation by 2020s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8) The table below displays the criteria used to assess a country’s policy performance. For the sector-specific policy criteria the ‘high’ rating is informed by the Climate Action Tracker (2016) report on the ten steps needed to limit warming to 1.5°C and the Paris Agreement.

9) The CCPI evaluates a country’s performance in national climate policy, meaning the performance in establishing and implementing a sufficient policy framework, as well as international climate diplomacy through feedback from national climate and energy experts.

10) The Climate Action Tracker is an independent, science-based assessment that tracks government emissions reduction commitments and actions. It provides an up-to-date assessment of individual national pledges, targets and NDCs and currently implemented policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

FINANCING THE TRANSITION

11) The Allianz Climate and Energy Monitor ranks G20 member states on their relative fitness as potential investment destinations for building low-carbon electricity infrastructure. The investment attractiveness of a country is assessed through four categories: policy adequacy, policy reliability of sustained support, market absorption capacity and the national investment conditions.

12) The Renewable Energy Country Attractiveness Index (RECAI) produces scores and rankings for countries’ attractiveness based on macro drivers, energy market drivers and technology-specific drivers which, together, compress a set of 5 drivers, 16 parameters and over 50 datasets. For comparability purposes with the Allianz Monitor index, we divided the G20 members included in the latest RECAI ranking (May 2017) in two categories and rate the top half as ‘high performance’ and the lower half as ‘medium performance’.

13) The green bonds country indicator shows which countries are active in the green bond market by showing green bonds per country as a percentage of the overall debt securities market for that country. Green bonds were created to fund projects that have positive environmental and/or climate benefits.

14) The data presented is from the OECD inventory: www.oecd.org/site/ tadifs/ except for Argentina and Saudi Arabia for which data from the IEA subsidies database is used. The IEA uses a different methodology for calculating subsidies than the OECD. It uses a ‘price-gap’ approach and covers a sub-set of consumer subsidies. The price-gap approach compares average end-user prices paid by consumers with reference prices that corresponds to the full cost of supply.
ANEX (continued)

15) This footnote had to be deleted as the data for the corresponding indicator was not available at the time of publication of this report.

16) In addition to carbon pricing mechanisms, emissions trading schemes and various energy taxes also act as prices on carbon, although they are generally not developed with the aim or reducing emissions. The OECD report presents calculations on Effective Carbon Rates as the sum of carbon taxes, specific taxes on energy use, and tradable emission permit prices. The calculations are based on 2012 energy policies and prices, as covered in OECD’s Taxing Energy Use database. According to OECD estimates, to tackle climate change emissions should be priced at least EUR 30 (or US$ 37) per tonne of CO₂ revealing a major ‘carbon pricing gap’ within the G20.

17) The effective carbon rate presented in this country profile does not factor in emissions from biomass, as many countries and the UNFCCC treat them as carbon-neutral. However, in many cases biomass emissions are found to be non-carbon neutral over their lifecycle, especially due to the land use changes they cause.

18) Finance delivered through multilateral climate funds comes from Climate Funds Update, a joint ODI/Heinrich Boell Foundation database that tracks spending through major multilateral climate funds. Figures include: Adaptation for Smallholder Agriculture Programme; Adaptation Fund; Clean Technology Fund; Forest Carbon Partnership Facility; Forest Investment Program; Global Environment Facility (5th and 6th Replenishment, Climate Focal Area only); Least Developed Countries Fund; Partnership for Market Readiness; Pilot Program for Climate Resilience; Scaling-up Renewable Energy Program; and the Special Climate Change Fund.

19) Bilateral finance commitments are sourced from Party reporting to the UNFCCC under the Common Tabular Format. Figures represent commitments of funds to projects or programmes, as opposed to actual disbursements.

20) Data for the MDB spending on climate action includes ADB, AfDB, EBRD, EIB, IDB, IFI and the World Bank. Data is self-reported annually by the MDBs, based on a shared methodology they developed. The reported data includes MDBs own resources and expenditure in EU13, not funding from external sources that are channelled through the MDBs (e.g through bilateral donors and dedicated climate funds that are captured elsewhere). Data reported corresponds to the financing of adaptation or mitigation projects or of those components, sub-components, or elements within projects that provide adaptation or mitigation benefits (rather than the entire project cost). It does not include public or private finance mobilised by MDBs.

21) Total primary energy supply data displayed in this factsheet does not include non-energy use values.

22) The share of coal in total primary energy supply reveals the country’s historical and current proportion of coal in the energy mix. As coal is one of the dirtiest of fossil fuels, reducing coal’s share in its energy mix is a crucial step for a country’s transition to a green economy.

23) The share of renewable energy in total primary energy supply shows a country’s historical and current proportion of renewables in the energy mix. The numbers displayed in the graph do not include residential biomass and waste values. Replacing fossil fuels and promoting the expansion of renewable energy is an important step for reducing emissions.

24) TPES per capita displays the historical, current and projected energy supply in relation to a country’s population. Alongside the intensity indicators (TPES/GDP and CO₂/TPES), TPES per capita gives an indication on the energy efficiency of a country’s economy. In line with a well-below 2°C limits, TPES/capita should not grow above current global average levels. This means that developing countries are still allowed to expand their energy use to the current global average, while developed countries have to simultaneously reduce it to that same number.

25) TPES per GDP describes the energy intensity of a country’s economy. This indicator illustrates the efficiency of energy usage by calculating the energy needed to produce one unit of GDP. A decrease in this indicator can mean an increase in efficiency but also reflects structural economic changes.

26) This indicator describes the carbon intensity of a country’s energy sector (expressed as the CO₂ emissions per unit of total primary energy supply) and gives an indication on the share of fossil fuels in the energy supply.

For more detail on the sources and methodologies behind the calculation of the indicators displayed, please download the Technical Note at:
http://www.climate-transparency.org/g20-climate-performance/g20report2017